

Donald Hebb (1904-1985)

"When an axon of cell A is near enough to excite a cell B and repeatedly or persistently takes part in firing it, some growth process or metabolic change takes place in one or both cells such that A's efficiency, as one of the cells firing B, is increased." (Donald Hebb, 1949)

"When an axon of cell A is near enough to excite a cell B and repeatedly or persistently takes part in firing it, some growth process or metabolic change takes place in one or both cells such that A's efficiency, as one of the cells firing B, is increased." (Donald Hebb, 1949)

"Fire together, wire together"

"When an axon of cell A is near enough to excite a cell B and repeatedly or persistently takes part in firing it, some growth process or metabolic change takes place in one or both cells such that A's efficiency, as one of the cells firing B, is increased." (Donald Hebb, 1949)

"Fire together, wire together"

Simple model of Hebbian learning:



In the following we will drop μ for convenience.

A linear model neuron is described by:

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i x_i = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} \,. \tag{4}$$

This corresponds to a projection of the data onto the axis given by ${\bf w}$ scaled with $\parallel {\bf w} \parallel.$



A linear model neuron is described by:

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i x_i = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} \,. \tag{4}$$

This corresponds to a projection of the data onto the axis given by ${\bf w}$ scaled with $\parallel {\bf w} \parallel.$



A linear model neuron is described by:

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i x_i = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} \,. \tag{4}$$

This corresponds to a projection of the data onto the axis given by ${\bf w}$ scaled with $\parallel {\bf w} \parallel.$



A linear model neuron is described by:

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i x_i = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} \,. \tag{4}$$

This corresponds to a projection of the data onto the axis given by \mathbf{w} scaled with $\|\mathbf{w}\|$.



A linear model neuron is described by:

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i x_i = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} \,. \tag{4}$$

This corresponds to a projection of the data onto the axis given by \mathbf{w} scaled with $\|\mathbf{w}\|$.



$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(3)}}{=} \eta \langle y \mathbf{x} \rangle \quad \text{(Hebbian learning)}$$
$$y \stackrel{\text{(4)}}{=} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}, \quad \text{(linear neuron)}$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(3)}}{=} \eta \langle y \mathbf{x} \rangle \quad (\text{Hebbian learning})$$
$$y \stackrel{\text{(4)}}{=} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}, \quad (\text{linear neuron})$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(3)}}{=} \eta \langle y \mathbf{x} \rangle \tag{5}$$

$$\stackrel{(4)}{=} \eta \left\langle (\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} \right\rangle \tag{6}$$

$$= \eta \left\langle \mathbf{x} (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w}) \right\rangle \tag{7}$$

$$= \eta \langle \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}' \rangle \mathbf{w} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (3)}{=} & \eta \langle y \mathbf{x} \rangle & (\text{Hebbian learning}) \\ y & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (4)}{=} & \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} \,, & (\text{linear neuron}) \end{array}$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (3)}{=} \eta \langle y \mathbf{x} \rangle \tag{5}$$

$$\stackrel{(4)}{=} \eta \left\langle (\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} \right\rangle \tag{6}$$

$$= \eta \left\langle \mathbf{x} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w}) \right\rangle \tag{7}$$

$$= \eta \langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \rangle \mathbf{w}$$
 (8)

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{w}^{t+1} = \mathbf{w}^t + \eta \langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T \rangle \mathbf{w}^t \tag{9}$$

$$= (\mathbf{I} + \eta \langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}' \rangle) \mathbf{w}^{t}$$
(10)

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{(3)}{=} \eta \langle y \mathbf{x} \rangle \quad (\text{Hebbian learning})$$
$$y \stackrel{(4)}{=} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}, \quad (\text{linear neuron})$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(3)}}{=} \eta \langle y \mathbf{x} \rangle \tag{5}$$

$$\stackrel{(4)}{=} \eta \left\langle (\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} \right\rangle \tag{6}$$

$$= \eta \left\langle \mathbf{x} (\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w}) \right\rangle \tag{7}$$

$$= \eta \left\langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \right\rangle \mathbf{w} \tag{8}$$

$$\implies \mathbf{w}^{t+1} = \mathbf{w}^t + \eta \left\langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T \right\rangle \mathbf{w}^t \tag{9}$$

$$= (\mathbf{I} + \eta \langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \rangle) \mathbf{w}^{t}$$
(10)

Hebbian learning with a linear model neuron can be interpreted as an iterated multiplication of the weight vector **w** with matrix $(\mathbf{I} + \eta \langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T \rangle)$.

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(3)}}{=} \eta \langle y \mathbf{x} \rangle \quad (\text{Hebbian learning})$$
$$y \stackrel{\text{(4)}}{=} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}, \quad (\text{linear neuron})$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(3)}}{=} \eta \langle y \mathbf{x} \rangle \tag{5}$$

$$\stackrel{_{4)}}{=} \eta \left\langle (\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} \right\rangle \tag{6}$$

$$= \eta \left\langle \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{w}) \right\rangle \tag{7}$$

$$= \eta \left\langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \right\rangle \mathbf{w} \tag{8}$$

$$\implies \mathbf{w}^{t+1} = \mathbf{w}^t + \eta \left\langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T \right\rangle \mathbf{w}^t \tag{9}$$

$$= (\mathbf{I} + \eta \langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \rangle) \mathbf{w}^{t}$$
(10)

Hebbian learning with a linear model neuron can be interpreted as an iterated multiplication of the weight vector **w** with matrix $(\mathbf{I} + \eta \langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T \rangle)$. The 'sign' of the input vectors **x** is irrelevant for the learning.

 $\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (6)}{=} \eta \left< (\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} \right> \quad \text{(Hebbian learning for a linear unit)}$



 $\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (6)}{=} \eta \left< (\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} \right> \quad \text{(Hebbian learning for a linear unit)}$



 $\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{\tiny (6)}}{=} \eta \left\langle (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} \right\rangle \quad \text{(Hebbian learning for a linear unit)}$



 $\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{\tiny (6)}}{=} \eta \left\langle (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} \right\rangle \quad \text{(Hebbian learning for a linear unit)}$



 $\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{\tiny (6)}}{=} \eta \left\langle (\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} \right\rangle \quad \text{(Hebbian learning for a linear unit)}$



 $\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{\tiny (6)}}{=} \eta \left\langle (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} \right\rangle \quad \text{(Hebbian learning for a linear unit)}$



 $\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{\tiny (6)}}{=} \eta \left\langle (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} \right\rangle \quad \text{(Hebbian learning for a linear unit)}$



Problem: The weights grow unlimited.

First apply the learning rule,

$$\tilde{w}_i^{t+1} = w_i^t + \eta f_i \quad \text{with, e.g.,} f_i = y x_i \,. \tag{11}$$

Then normalize the weight vector to length one,

$$w_i^{t+1} = \frac{\tilde{w}_i^{t+1}}{\sqrt{\sum_j \left(\tilde{w}_j^{t+1}\right)^2}} \,. \tag{12}$$

We can verify that

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i} (w_i^{t+1})^2} \stackrel{\text{(12)}}{=} \sqrt{\sum_{i} \left(\frac{\tilde{w}_i^{t+1}}{\sqrt{\sum_{j} (\tilde{w}_j^{t+1})^2}}\right)^2} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i} (\tilde{w}_i^{t+1})^2}{\sum_{j} (\tilde{w}_j^{t+1})^2}} = 1.$$
(13)

Problem: Explicit normalization is expensive and biologically implausible.

Explicit normalization yielded

$$w_i^{t+1}(\eta) \stackrel{(12)}{=} rac{w_i^t + \eta f_i}{\sqrt{\sum\limits_j \left(w_j^t + \eta f_j
ight)^2}}\,.$$

Explicit normalization yielded

$$egin{aligned} & w_i^{t+1}(\eta) & \stackrel{(12)}{=} & rac{w_i^t + \eta f_i}{\sqrt{\sum\limits_j \left(w_j^t + \eta f_j
ight)^2}} \end{aligned}$$

To optain a simpler/more plausible normalization rule, we compute the Taylor-expansion of w_i^{t+1} in η at $\eta' = 0$ under the assumption that \mathbf{w}^t is normalized, i.e. $\sum_j (w_j^t)^2 = 1$,

$$w_i^{t+1}(\eta) \approx w_i^{t+1}(\eta'=0) + \eta \left. \frac{\partial w_i^{t+1}(\eta')}{\partial \eta'} \right|_{\eta'=0}$$
(14)

Explicit normalization yielded

$$w_i^{t+1}(\eta) \stackrel{(12)}{=} rac{w_i^t + \eta f_i}{\sqrt{\sum\limits_j \left(w_j^t + \eta f_j
ight)^2}}$$

To optain a simpler/more plausible normalization rule, we compute the Taylor-expansion of w_i^{t+1} in η at $\eta' = 0$ under the assumption that \mathbf{w}^t is normalized, i.e. $\sum_i (w_j^t)^2 = 1$,

$$w_{i}^{t+1}(\eta) \approx w_{i}^{t+1}(\eta'=0) + \eta \left. \frac{\partial w_{i}^{t+1}(\eta')}{\partial \eta'} \right|_{\eta'=0}$$
(14)
$$= w_{i}^{t+1}(\eta'=0) + \eta \left(\frac{f_{i} \sqrt{\sum_{j} \left(w_{j}^{t} + \eta' f_{j} \right)^{2}} - \left(w_{i}^{t} + \eta' f_{i} \right) \frac{\sum_{j} f_{j} \left(w_{j}^{t} + \eta' f_{j} \right)^{2}}{\sqrt{\sum_{j} \left(w_{j}^{t} + \eta' f_{j} \right)^{2}}} \right) \left| (15) \right|_{\eta'=0}$$
(14)

Explicit normalization yielded

$$w_i^{t+1}(\eta) \stackrel{(12)}{=} rac{w_i^t + \eta f_i}{\sqrt{\sum\limits_j \left(w_j^t + \eta f_j
ight)^2}}$$

To optain a simpler/more plausible normalization rule, we compute the Taylor-expansion of w_i^{t+1} in η at $\eta' = 0$ under the assumption that \mathbf{w}^t is normalized, i.e. $\sum_i (w_j^t)^2 = 1$,

$$w_{i}^{t+1}(\eta) \approx w_{i}^{t+1}(\eta'=0) + \eta \left. \frac{\partial w_{i}^{t+1}(\eta')}{\partial \eta'} \right|_{\eta'=0}$$
(14)
$$= w_{i}^{t+1}(\eta'=0) + \eta \left(\frac{f_{i} \sqrt{\sum_{j} \left(w_{j}^{t} + \eta' f_{j} \right)^{2}} - \left(w_{i}^{t} + \eta' f_{i} \right) \frac{\sum_{j} f_{j} \left(w_{j}^{t} + \eta' f_{j} \right)^{2}}{\sqrt{\sum_{j} \left(w_{j}^{t} + \eta' f_{j} \right)^{2}}} \right) \right|_{\eta'=0}$$
(15)

$$= w_i^t + \eta \left(f_i - w_i^t \sum_j f_j w_j^t \right) . \tag{16}$$

Taylor-expansion of the explicit normalization rule resulted in

$$w_i^{t+1} \stackrel{(16)}{\approx} w_i^t + \eta \left(f_i - w_i^t \sum_j f_j w_j^t \right) \,.$$

Taylor-expansion of the explicit normalization rule resulted in

$$w_i^{t+1} \stackrel{(16)}{\approx} w_i^t + \eta \left(f_i - w_i^t \sum_j f_j w_j^t \right) \,.$$

$$\Delta w_i \stackrel{(16)}{=} \eta \left(f_i - w_i \sum_j f_j w_j \right)$$
(17)

Taylor-expansion of the explicit normalization rule resulted in

$$w_i^{t+1} \stackrel{(16)}{\approx} w_i^t + \eta \left(f_i - w_i^t \sum_j f_j w_j^t \right) \,.$$

$$\Delta w_{i} \stackrel{\text{(16)}}{=} \eta \left(f_{i} - w_{i} \sum_{j} f_{j} w_{j} \right)$$

$$= \eta \left(y x_{i} - w_{i} y \sum_{j} x_{j} w_{j} \right)$$
(17)
(17)
(18)

Taylor-expansion of the explicit normalization rule resulted in

$$w_i^{t+1} \stackrel{(16)}{\approx} w_i^t + \eta \left(f_i - w_i^t \sum_j f_j w_j^t \right) \,.$$

$$\Delta w_{i} \stackrel{\text{(16)}}{=} \eta \left(f_{i} - w_{i} \sum_{j} f_{j} w_{j} \right)$$

$$= \eta \left(y x_{i} - w_{i} y \sum_{j} x_{j} w_{j} \right)$$

$$= \eta \left(y x_{i} - y^{2} w_{i} \right) \quad \forall i$$
(17)
(17)
(18)
(18)

Taylor-expansion of the explicit normalization rule resulted in

$$w_i^{t+1} \stackrel{(16)}{\approx} w_i^t + \eta \left(f_i - w_i^t \sum_j f_j w_j^t \right) \,.$$

$$\Delta w_{i} \stackrel{\text{(16)}}{=} \eta \left(f_{i} - w_{i} \sum_{j} f_{j} w_{j} \right)$$
(17)
$$= \eta \left(y x_{i} - w_{i} y \sum_{j} x_{j} w_{j} \right)$$
(18)
$$= \eta \left(y x_{i} - y^{2} w_{i} \right) \quad \forall i$$
(19)
$$\Delta w = \eta \left(y x - y^{2} w \right). \quad (\text{Oja's rule})$$
(20)

Taylor-expansion of the explicit normalization rule resulted in

$$w_i^{t+1} \stackrel{(16)}{\approx} w_i^t + \eta \left(f_i - w_i^t \sum_j f_j w_j^t \right) \,.$$

For Hebbian learning, i.e. with $f_i = yx_i$ and $y = \sum_j x_j w_j$, this becomes

$$\Delta w_{i} \stackrel{\text{(16)}}{=} \eta \left(f_{i} - w_{i} \sum_{j} f_{j} w_{j} \right)$$
(17)
$$= \eta \left(yx_{i} - w_{i} y \sum_{j} x_{j} w_{j} \right)$$
(18)
$$= \eta \left(yx_{i} - y^{2} w_{i} \right) \quad \forall i$$
(19)
$$\Delta w = \eta \left(yx - y^{2} w \right). \quad (\text{Oja's rule})$$
(20)

This rule is simpler, e.g. Δw_i does not depend on w_j anymore.

Implicit normalization yielded

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(20)}}{=} \eta (y\mathbf{x} - y^2\mathbf{w}).$$
 (Oja's rule)

Implicit normalization yielded

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(20)}}{=} \eta (y \mathbf{x} - y^2 \mathbf{w}).$$
 (Oja's rule)

For small y we have

Implicit normalization yielded

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(20)}}{=} \eta (y\mathbf{x} - y^2\mathbf{w}).$$
 (Oja's rule)

For small y we have

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \approx \eta y \mathbf{x} \,, \tag{21}$$

Implicit normalization yielded

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(20)}}{=} \eta (y\mathbf{x} - y^2\mathbf{w}).$$
 (Oja's rule)

For small y we have

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \approx \eta y \mathbf{x} \,, \tag{21}$$

which corresponds to Hebb's rule.
Implicit normalization yielded

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(20)}}{=} \eta (y \mathbf{x} - y^2 \mathbf{w}).$$
 (Oja's rule)

For small y we have

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \approx \eta y \mathbf{x} \,, \tag{21}$$

which corresponds to Hebb's rule.

For large y we have

Implicit normalization yielded

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(20)}}{=} \eta (y \mathbf{x} - y^2 \mathbf{w}).$$
 (Oja's rule)

For small y we have

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \approx \eta \mathbf{y} \mathbf{x} \,, \tag{21}$$

which corresponds to Hebb's rule.

For large y we have

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \approx -\eta y^2 \mathbf{w} \,, \tag{22}$$

Implicit normalization yielded

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(20)}}{=} \eta (y\mathbf{x} - y^2\mathbf{w}).$$
 (Oja's rule)

For small y we have

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \approx \eta \mathbf{y} \mathbf{x} \,, \tag{21}$$

which corresponds to Hebb's rule.

For large y we have

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \approx -\eta y^2 \mathbf{w} \,, \tag{22}$$

which results in a shortening of the weight vector.

Implicit normalization yielded

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(20)}}{=} \eta (y\mathbf{x} - y^2\mathbf{w}).$$
 (Oja's rule)

For small y we have

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \approx \eta \mathbf{y} \mathbf{x} \,, \tag{21}$$

which corresponds to Hebb's rule.

For large y we have

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \approx -\eta y^2 \mathbf{w} \,, \tag{22}$$

which results in a shortening of the weight vector.

The second term only affects the length but not the direction of the weight vector. It therefore limits the length of the weight vector without affecting the qualitative behavior of the learning rule.

Implicit normalization yielded

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(20)}}{=} \eta (y\mathbf{x} - y^2\mathbf{w}).$$
 (Oja's rule)

For small y we have

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \approx \eta \mathbf{y} \mathbf{x} \,, \tag{21}$$

which corresponds to Hebb's rule.

For large y we have

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \approx -\eta y^2 \mathbf{w} \,, \tag{22}$$

which results in a shortening of the weight vector.

The second term only affects the length but not the direction of the weight vector. It therefore limits the length of the weight vector without affecting the qualitative behavior of the learning rule.

Question: What will be the final length of the weight vector?

Implicit normalization yielded

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(20)}}{=} \eta (y\mathbf{x} - y^2\mathbf{w}).$$
 (Oja's rule)

For small y we have

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \approx \eta \mathbf{y} \mathbf{x} \,, \tag{21}$$

which corresponds to Hebb's rule.

For large y we have

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \approx -\eta y^2 \mathbf{w} \,, \tag{22}$$

which results in a shortening of the weight vector.

The second term only affects the length but not the direction of the weight vector. It therefore limits the length of the weight vector without affecting the qualitative behavior of the learning rule.

Question: What will be the final length of the weight vector?

Question: How will the weight vector develop under Oja's rule in general?

Linear Stability Analysis

$$y \stackrel{(4)}{=} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} \text{ (linear unit)}$$
$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{(20)}{=} \eta (y \mathbf{x} - y^2 \mathbf{w}) \text{ (Oja's rule)}$$

Linear Stability Analysis

$$y \stackrel{(4)}{=} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} \text{ (linear unit)}$$
$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{(20)}{=} \eta (y\mathbf{x} - y^2\mathbf{w}) \text{ (Oja's rule)}$$

1. What is the mean dynamics of the weight vectors?

$$rac{1}{\eta}\left<\Delta \mathbf{w} \right>_{\mu} = ?$$

2. What are the stationary weight vectors of the dynamics?

$$\mathbf{0} \stackrel{!}{=} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w}
angle_{\mu} \iff \mathbf{w} = ?$$

3. Which weight vectors are stable?

$$\mathbf{w} = ?$$
 stable \iff ?

$$y \stackrel{\text{(4)}}{=} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} \text{ (linear unit)}$$
$$(\Delta \mathbf{w})^{\mu} \stackrel{\text{(20)}}{=} \eta (y^{\mu} \mathbf{x}^{\mu} - (y^{\mu})^{2} \mathbf{w}) \text{ (Oja's rule)}$$

$$y \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{(4)}}{=} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}$$
 (linear unit)
 $(\Delta \mathbf{w})^{\mu} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{(20)}}{=} \eta(y^{\mu} \mathbf{x}^{\mu} - (y^{\mu})^2 \mathbf{w})$ (Oja's rule)

In order to proceed analytically we have to average over all training patterns $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and get

$$\langle (\Delta \mathbf{w})^{\mu} \rangle_{\mu} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\mu=1}^{M} (\Delta \mathbf{w})^{\mu}$$
(23)
$$\stackrel{(20)}{=} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\mu=1}^{M} \eta (y^{\mu} \mathbf{x}^{\mu} - (y^{\mu})^{2} \mathbf{w})$$
(24)
$$\iff \langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} = \eta \langle y \mathbf{x} - y^{2} \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu}$$
(25)

For small learning rates η this is a good approximation to the real training procedure (apart from a factor of M).

$$y \stackrel{(4)}{=} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}, \quad \text{(linear unit)}$$
$$\langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{(25)}{=} \eta \langle y \mathbf{x} - y^2 \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu}. \quad \text{(Oja's rule, averaged)}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} y & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (4)}{=} & {\bf w}^T {\bf x} \,, \quad \mbox{(linear unit)} \\ \langle \Delta {\bf w} \rangle_\mu & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (25)}{=} & \eta \langle y {\bf x} - y^2 {\bf w} \rangle_\mu \,. \quad \mbox{(Oja's rule, averaged)} \end{array}$$

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\text{(25)}}{=} \langle y \mathbf{x} - y^2 \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu}$$
(26)

$$\stackrel{(4)}{=} \left\langle (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x} - (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{w} \right\rangle_{\mu}$$
(27)

$$= \langle \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{w}) - (\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{w})\mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu}$$
(28)

$$= \langle (\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}})\mathbf{w} - (\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}})\mathbf{w})\mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu}$$
(29)

$$= \langle \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T \rangle_{\mu} \mathbf{w} - (\mathbf{w}^T \langle \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T \rangle_{\mu} \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{w}$$
(30)
$$[\mathbf{C} :- /\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T \rangle_{\mu} - \mathbf{C}^T]$$
(31)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} := \langle \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X} \rangle_{\mu} = \mathbf{C} \end{bmatrix} \tag{31}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(31)}}{=} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w} - (\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})\mathbf{w}$$
(32)

Interim Summary

$$y \stackrel{(4)}{=} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} \text{ (linear unit)}$$
$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{(20)}{=} \eta (y \mathbf{x} - y^2 \mathbf{w}) \text{ (Oja's rule)}$$

1. What is the mean dynamics of the weight vectors?

$$\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \frac{1}{\eta} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} \quad \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (32)}{=} \quad \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} - \left(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} \right) \mathbf{w} \\ \\ \text{with} \quad \mathbf{C} \quad \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (31)}{=} \quad \langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \rangle_{\mu} \end{array}$$

2. What are the stationary weight vectors of the dynamics?

$$\mathbf{0} \stackrel{!}{=} \left< \Delta \mathbf{w} \right>_{\mu} \hspace{0.2cm} \Longleftrightarrow \hspace{0.2cm} \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{?}$$

3. Which weight vectors are stable?

$$\mathbf{w} = ?$$
 stable \iff ?

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (31)}{=} & \langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T \rangle_{\mu} = \mathbf{C}^T \,, & (\text{symmetric matrix } \mathbf{C}) \\ \\ \frac{1}{\eta} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (32)}{=} & \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} - \left(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} \right) \mathbf{w} \,. & (\text{weight dynamics}) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (31)}{=} & \langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T \rangle_{\mu} = \mathbf{C}^T \,, & (\text{symmetric matrix } \mathbf{C}) \\ \\ \frac{1}{\eta} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (32)}{=} & \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} - \left(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} \right) \mathbf{w} \,. & (\text{weight dynamics}) \end{array}$$

For stationary weight vectors we have:

$$\mathbf{0} \stackrel{!}{=} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} \tag{33}$$

$$\stackrel{(32)}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})\mathbf{w}$$
(34)

$$\left[\lambda := \left(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w}\right)\right] \tag{35}$$

$$\stackrel{(35)}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w} = \lambda \mathbf{w} \tag{36}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (31)}{=} & \langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T \rangle_{\mu} = \mathbf{C}^T \,, & (\text{symmetric matrix } \mathbf{C}) \\ \\ \frac{1}{\eta} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (32)}{=} & \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} - \left(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} \right) \mathbf{w} \,. & (\text{weight dynamics}) \end{array}$$

For stationary weight vectors we have:

$$\mathbf{0} \stackrel{!}{=} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} \tag{33}$$

$$\stackrel{(32)}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})\mathbf{w}$$
(34)

$$\left[\lambda := \left(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w}\right)\right] \tag{35}$$

$$\stackrel{(35)}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w} = \lambda \mathbf{w}$$
(36)

Stationary weight vectors \mathbf{w} are eigenvectors of matrix \mathbf{C} .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (31)}{=} & \langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^T \rangle_{\mu} = \mathbf{C}^T \,, & (\text{symmetric matrix } \mathbf{C}) \\ \\ \frac{1}{\eta} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (32)}{=} & \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} - \left(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} \right) \mathbf{w} \,. & (\text{weight dynamics}) \end{array}$$

For stationary weight vectors we have:

$$\mathbf{0} \stackrel{!}{=} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} \tag{33}$$

$$\stackrel{(32)}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{w})\mathbf{w}$$
(34)

$$\left[\lambda := \left(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w}\right)\right] \tag{35}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{\tiny (35)}}{\longleftrightarrow} \quad \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w} = \lambda \mathbf{w} \tag{36}$$

Stationary weight vectors w are eigenvectors of matrix C.

$$\lambda \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (35)}{=} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (36)}{=} \mathbf{w}^T \lambda \mathbf{w} = \lambda \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} = \lambda \| \mathbf{w} \|^2$$
(37)

$$\iff 1 = \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \tag{38}$$

Stationary weight vectors **w** are normalized to 1.

Interim Summary

$$y \stackrel{(4)}{=} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} \text{ (linear unit)}$$
$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{(20)}{=} \eta (y \mathbf{x} - y^2 \mathbf{w}) \text{ (Oja's rule)}$$

1. What is the mean dynamics of the weight vectors?

$$rac{1}{\eta} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w}
angle_{\mu} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (32)}{=} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} - (\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{w}$$

with $\mathbf{C} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (33)}{:=} \langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}
angle_{\mu}$

2. What are the stationary weight vectors of the dynamics?

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{0} \stackrel{!}{=} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} & \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} \\ & \text{with } \mathbf{C} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} \stackrel{\text{(36)}}{=} \lambda_{\alpha} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} \quad (\text{eigenvectors of } \mathbf{C}) \\ & \wedge \quad \mathbf{1} \stackrel{\text{(38)}}{=} \parallel \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} \parallel^{2} \quad (\text{with norm } \mathbf{1}) \end{split}$$

3. Which weight vectors are stable?

$$w = ?$$
 stable \iff ?

Reminder: Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

 $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{a} = \lambda \mathbf{a}$ (eigenvalue equation) (39)

Solutions of the eigenvalue equation for a given quadratic $N \times N$ -matrix **A** are called eigenvectors **a** and eigenvalues λ .

For symmetric **A**, i.e. $\mathbf{A}^{T} = \mathbf{A}$, eigenvalues λ are real, and eigenvectors to different eigenvalues are orthogonal, i.e. $\lambda_{\alpha} \neq \lambda_{\beta} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}^{\alpha} \perp \mathbf{a}^{\beta}$.

A symmetric matrix A always has a complete set of orthonormal eigenvectors $\mathbf{a}^{\alpha}, \alpha = 1, ..., N$ (orthonormal basis), i.e.

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{a}^{\alpha} = \lambda_{\alpha}\mathbf{a}^{\alpha}, \quad (\text{right-eigenvectors}) \tag{40}$$

$$\iff \mathbf{a}^{\alpha \, T} \mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{a}^{\alpha})^{T} = \mathbf{a}^{\alpha \, T} \lambda_{\alpha}, \quad \text{(left-eigenvectors)} \quad (41)$$

$$\| \mathbf{a}^{\alpha} \| = \sqrt{\mathbf{a}^{\alpha T} \mathbf{a}^{\alpha}} = 1, \quad \text{(with norm 1)}$$

$$\mathbf{a}^{\alpha T} \mathbf{a}^{\beta} = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \neq \beta, \quad \text{(orthogonal)}$$

$$(42)$$

$${}^{\alpha}{}^{\tau}\mathbf{a}^{\beta} = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \neq \beta .$$
 (orthogonal) (43)

$$\forall \mathbf{v} \quad \mathbf{v} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} v'_{\alpha} \mathbf{a}^{\alpha} \quad \text{mit} \quad v'_{\alpha} = \mathbf{a}^{\alpha T} \mathbf{v} \quad (\text{complete}) \quad (44)$$

$$\implies \forall \mathbf{v} \quad \mathbf{v} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \mathbf{a}^{\alpha} \mathbf{a}^{\alpha T} \mathbf{v} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathbf{1} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \mathbf{a}^{\alpha} \mathbf{a}^{\alpha T}$$
(45)

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|^{2} = \sum_{i} v_{i}^{2} \stackrel{(42, 43, 44)}{=} \sum_{\alpha} v_{\alpha}^{\prime 2}$$
 (46)

Reminder: Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

$$\mathbf{A}^{T} = \mathbf{A}, \quad (symmetric) \tag{47}$$

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{a}^{\alpha} = \lambda_{\alpha}\mathbf{a}^{\alpha}, \quad \text{(eigenvectors)} \tag{48}$$

$$\mathbf{a}^{\alpha \, T} \mathbf{a}^{\beta} = \delta_{\alpha \beta} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta. \quad \text{(orthonormal)}$$
(49)



$$\frac{1}{\eta} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\text{\tiny (32)}}{=} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} - (\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{w}$$
(50)

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\text{\tiny (32)}}{=} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} - (\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{w}$$
(50)



How does ϵ develop under the dynamics?

$$\begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{\eta} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (32)}{=} & \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} - \left(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} \right) \mathbf{w} \\ & \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (51)}{=} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \quad \text{(with small } \epsilon) \end{array}$$

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \langle \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu} \quad \stackrel{\text{(51)}}{=} \quad \frac{1}{\eta} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} \tag{52}$$

$$\stackrel{(32)}{=} \quad \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w} - \left(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{w}\right)\mathbf{w} \tag{53}$$

$$\stackrel{(51)}{=} \quad \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon) - \left((\mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon) \right) (\mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon) \qquad (54)$$

$$\approx \mathbf{C}\mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \mathbf{C}\epsilon - (\mathbf{c}^{\alpha T}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{c}^{\alpha})\mathbf{c}^{\alpha} - (\mathbf{c}^{\alpha T}\mathbf{C}\epsilon)\mathbf{c}^{\alpha} - (\epsilon^{T}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{c}^{\alpha})\mathbf{c}^{\alpha} - (\epsilon^{T}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{c}^{\alpha})\epsilon$$
(55)

$$\stackrel{^{(36,41)}}{=} \quad \lambda^{\alpha} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \mathbf{C}\epsilon - (\mathbf{c}^{\alpha \, T} \lambda^{\alpha} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha}) \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} - (\mathbf{c}^{\alpha \, T} \lambda^{\alpha} \epsilon) \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} - (\epsilon^{T} \lambda^{\alpha} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha}) \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} - (\mathbf{c}^{\alpha \, T} \lambda^{\alpha} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha}) \epsilon$$
(56)

$$\stackrel{(38)}{=} \quad \lambda^{\alpha} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \mathbf{C}\epsilon - \lambda^{\alpha} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} - \lambda^{\alpha} (\mathbf{c}^{\alpha T} \epsilon) \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} - \lambda^{\alpha} (\epsilon^{T} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha}) \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} - \lambda^{\alpha} \epsilon$$
(57)

$$= \mathbf{C}\epsilon - 2\lambda^{\alpha} (\mathbf{c}^{\alpha T} \epsilon) \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} - \lambda^{\alpha} \epsilon .$$
(58)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (51)}{:=} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \\ \frac{1}{\eta} \langle \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (58)}{\approx} & \mathbf{C} \epsilon - 2 \lambda^{\alpha} (\mathbf{c}^{\alpha \, {}^{\mathcal{T}}} \epsilon) \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} - \lambda^{\alpha} \epsilon \,. \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\text{(51)}}{:=} \quad \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \frac{1}{\eta} \langle \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu} & \stackrel{\text{(58)}}{\approx} \quad \mathbf{C} \epsilon - 2\lambda^{\alpha} (\mathbf{c}^{\alpha \, \mathsf{T}} \epsilon) \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} - \lambda^{\alpha} \epsilon \,. \end{split}$$

For simplicity consider the change of the perturbation along the eigenvector $\mathbf{c}^{\beta}.$



$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\text{(51)}}{\coloneqq} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \frac{1}{\eta} \langle \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu} & \stackrel{\text{(58)}}{\approx} & \mathbf{C} \epsilon - 2\lambda^{\alpha} (\mathbf{c}^{\alpha \, T} \epsilon) \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} - \lambda^{\alpha} \epsilon \,. \end{split}$$

For simplicity consider the change of the perturbation along the eigenvector $\mathbf{c}^{\beta}.$

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \mathbf{c}^{\beta T} \langle \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu} \quad \stackrel{\text{\tiny (58)}}{\approx} \quad \mathbf{c}^{\beta T} \mathbf{C} \epsilon - 2\lambda_{\alpha} (\mathbf{c}^{\alpha T} \epsilon) (\mathbf{c}^{\beta T} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha}) - \lambda_{\alpha} (\mathbf{c}^{\beta T} \epsilon)$$
(59)

$$\stackrel{^{(36,41)}}{=} \quad \lambda_{\beta}(\mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}}\epsilon) - 2\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{c}^{\alpha^{T}}\epsilon)(\mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}}\mathbf{c}^{\alpha}) - \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}}\epsilon) \quad (60)$$

$$\stackrel{_{42,43)}}{=} \lambda_{\beta}(\mathbf{c}^{\beta}{}^{T}\epsilon) - 2\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{c}^{\alpha}{}^{T}\epsilon)\delta_{\beta\alpha} - \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{c}^{\beta}{}^{T}\epsilon)$$
(61)

$$= \lambda_{\beta}(\mathbf{c}^{\beta T}\epsilon) - 2\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{c}^{\beta T}\epsilon)\delta_{\beta\alpha} - \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathbf{c}^{\beta T}\epsilon)$$
(62)

$$= (\lambda_{\beta} - 2\lambda_{\alpha}\delta_{\beta\alpha} - \lambda_{\alpha})(\mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}}\epsilon)$$
(63)

$$= \begin{cases} (-2\lambda_{\beta})(\mathbf{c}^{\beta \prime} \epsilon) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ (\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha})(\mathbf{c}^{\beta \prime} \epsilon) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{cases}$$
(64)

$$\mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(51)}}{\coloneqq} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon, \\ \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbf{c}^{\beta} \langle \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\text{(64)}}{\approx} \begin{cases} (-2\lambda_{\beta}) (\mathbf{c}^{\beta} \epsilon) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ (\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) (\mathbf{c}^{\beta} \epsilon) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(51)}}{\coloneqq} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon, \\ \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbf{c}^{\beta} \langle \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\text{(64)}}{\approx} \begin{cases} (-2\lambda_{\beta}) (\mathbf{c}^{\beta} \epsilon) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ (\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) (\mathbf{c}^{\beta} \epsilon) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{cases}$$

With

$$\mathbf{c}^{\beta T} \langle \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu} = \langle \mathbf{c}^{\beta T} \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu}$$
(65)

$$= \langle \mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}} (\epsilon^{n+1} - \epsilon^{n}) \rangle_{\mu}$$
 (66)

$$= \langle (\mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}} \epsilon)^{n+1} - (\mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}} \epsilon)^{n} \rangle_{\mu}$$
(67)

$$= \langle \Delta(\mathbf{c}^{\beta'} \epsilon) \rangle_{\mu} \tag{68}$$

$$\mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(51)}}{\coloneqq} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon, \\ \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbf{c}^{\beta} \langle \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\text{(64)}}{\approx} \begin{cases} (-2\lambda_{\beta}) (\mathbf{c}^{\beta} \epsilon) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ (\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) (\mathbf{c}^{\beta} \epsilon) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{cases}$$

With

$$\mathbf{c}^{\beta T} \langle \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu} = \langle \mathbf{c}^{\beta T} \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu}$$
(65)

$$= \langle \mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}} (\epsilon^{n+1} - \epsilon^{n}) \rangle_{\mu}$$
 (66)

$$= \langle (\mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}} \epsilon)^{n+1} - (\mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}} \epsilon)^{n} \rangle_{\mu}$$
(67)

$$= \langle \Delta(\mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}} \epsilon) \rangle_{\mu} \tag{68}$$

$$s_{\beta} := \mathbf{c}^{\beta} \epsilon^{T} \epsilon$$
 (perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{β}) (69)

$$\mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(51)}}{\coloneqq} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon, \\ \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbf{c}^{\beta} \langle \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\text{(64)}}{\approx} \begin{cases} (-2\lambda_{\beta}) (\mathbf{c}^{\beta} \epsilon) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ (\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) (\mathbf{c}^{\beta} \epsilon) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{cases}$$

With

$$\mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}} \langle \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu} = \langle \mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}} \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu}$$
(65)

$$= \langle \mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}} (\epsilon^{n+1} - \epsilon^{n}) \rangle_{\mu}$$
 (66)

$$= \langle (\mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}} \epsilon)^{n+1} - (\mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}} \epsilon)^{n} \rangle_{\mu}$$
(67)

$$= \langle \Delta(\mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}} \epsilon) \rangle_{\mu} \tag{68}$$

$$s_{\beta} := \mathbf{c}^{\beta} \epsilon^{T} \epsilon$$
 (perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{β}) (69)

$$\kappa_{\alpha\beta} := \begin{cases} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{cases}$$
(70)

$$\mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(51)}}{\coloneqq} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon, \\ \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbf{c}^{\beta} \langle \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\text{(64)}}{\approx} \begin{cases} (-2\lambda_{\beta}) (\mathbf{c}^{\beta} \epsilon) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ (\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) (\mathbf{c}^{\beta} \epsilon) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{cases}$$

With

$$\mathbf{c}^{\beta T} \langle \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu} = \langle \mathbf{c}^{\beta T} \Delta \epsilon \rangle_{\mu}$$
(65)

$$= \langle \mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}} (\epsilon^{n+1} - \epsilon^{n}) \rangle_{\mu}$$
(66)

•

$$= \langle (\mathbf{c}^{\beta T} \epsilon)^{n+1} - (\mathbf{c}^{\beta T} \epsilon)^{n} \rangle_{\mu}$$
(67)

$$= \langle \Delta(\mathbf{c}^{\beta^{T}} \epsilon) \rangle_{\mu} \tag{68}$$

$$s_{\beta} := \mathbf{c}^{\beta \, T} \epsilon$$
 (perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{β}) (69)

$$\kappa_{\alpha\beta} := \begin{cases} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{cases}$$
(70)

we get

(64)
$$\stackrel{_{(68, 69, 70)}}{\longleftrightarrow} \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \approx \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta}.$$
 (71)

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{(51)}{:=} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{(71)}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} & \text{with} & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{(70)}{:=} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

٠

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (51)}{\coloneqq} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (71)}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} & \text{with} & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (70)}{\coloneqq} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

Case 1: $\beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} < \lambda_{\alpha}$



$$\mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(51)}}{\coloneqq} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon,$$

$$\langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\text{(71)}}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\text{(70)}}{\coloneqq} \begin{cases} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{cases}$$

 ${\sf Case \ 1:} \ \beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_\beta < \lambda_\alpha \quad \Rightarrow \quad \kappa_{\alpha\beta} = \eta \big(\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha \big) < {\sf 0},$



$$\mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(51)}}{\coloneqq} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon,$$

$$\langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\text{(71)}}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\text{(70)}}{\coloneqq} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right.$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Case 1:} & \beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} < \lambda_{\alpha} & \Rightarrow & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} = \eta \big(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha} \big) < \texttt{0}, \\ \text{The perturbation along } \mathbf{c}^{\beta} \text{ decays.} \end{array}$



$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{(51)}{:=} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{(71)}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} & \text{with} & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{(70)}{:=} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

٠
$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (51)}{\coloneqq} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (71)}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} & \text{with} & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (70)}{\coloneqq} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

Case 2: $\beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} = \lambda_{\alpha}$



$$\mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(51)}}{\coloneqq} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon,$$

$$\langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\text{(71)}}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\text{(70)}}{\coloneqq} \begin{cases} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{cases}$$

 $\mathsf{Case} \ 2 : \ \beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_\beta = \lambda_\alpha \quad \Rightarrow \quad \kappa_{\alpha\beta} = \eta \big(\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha \big) = \mathsf{0},$



$$\mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(51)}}{\coloneqq} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon,$$

$$\langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\text{(71)}}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\text{(70)}}{\coloneqq} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right.$$

Case 2: $\beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} = \lambda_{\alpha} \Rightarrow \kappa_{\alpha\beta} = \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) = 0$, The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{β} persists.



$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{(51)}{:=} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{(71)}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} & \text{with} & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{(70)}{:=} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

٠

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (51)}{\coloneqq} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (71)}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} & \text{with} & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (70)}{\coloneqq} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

Case 3: $\beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} > \lambda_{\alpha}$



$$\mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(51)}}{\coloneqq} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon,$$

$$\langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\text{(71)}}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\text{(70)}}{\coloneqq} \begin{cases} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{cases}$$

 $\mathsf{Case 3:} \ \beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_\beta > \lambda_\alpha \quad \Rightarrow \quad \kappa_{\alpha\beta} = \eta \big(\lambda_\beta - \lambda_\alpha \big) > \mathsf{0},$



$$\mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(51)}}{\coloneqq} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon,$$

$$\langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\text{(71)}}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\text{(70)}}{\coloneqq} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right.$$

Case 3: $\beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} > \lambda_{\alpha} \Rightarrow \kappa_{\alpha\beta} = \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) > 0$, The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{β} grows.



$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\text{(S1)}}{\coloneqq} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\text{(71)}}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} & \text{with} & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\text{(70)}}{\coloneqq} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

•

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\text{(51)}}{\coloneqq} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\text{(71)}}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} & \text{with} & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\text{(70)}}{\coloneqq} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

٠

Case 4: $\beta = \alpha$



$$\mathbf{w} \stackrel{\text{(51)}}{\coloneqq} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon,$$

$$\langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\text{(71)}}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\text{(70)}}{\coloneqq} \begin{cases} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{cases}$$

•

Case 4: $\beta = \alpha \quad \Rightarrow \quad \kappa_{\alpha\beta} = \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) < 0.$



$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (51)}{\coloneqq} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (71)}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} & \text{ with } & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (70)}{\coloneqq} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

Case 4: $\beta = \alpha \implies \kappa_{\alpha\beta} = \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) < 0.$ The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{α} always decays (if $\lambda_{\beta} > 0$).



$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{(51)}{:=} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{(71)}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} & \text{with} & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{(70)}{:=} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

٠

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (51)}{\coloneqq} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (71)}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} & \text{with} & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (70)}{\coloneqq} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

Cases 3 and 4 combined.

The weight vectors turns away \mathbf{c}^{α} into the \mathbf{c}^{β} -direction.



$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (51)}{\coloneqq} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (71)}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} & \text{ with } & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (70)}{\coloneqq} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

٠

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle(51)}{\coloneqq} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle(71)}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} & \text{ with } & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle(70)}{\coloneqq} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{Case 1:} & \beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} < \lambda_{\alpha}, \rightarrow \mathsf{The \ perturbation \ along \ } \mathbf{c}^{\beta} \ \mathsf{decays.} \\ \mathsf{Case 2:} & \beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} = \lambda_{\alpha}, \rightarrow \mathsf{The \ perturbation \ along \ } \mathbf{c}^{\beta} \ \mathsf{persists.} \\ \mathsf{Case 3:} & \beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} > \lambda_{\alpha}, \rightarrow \mathsf{The \ perturbation \ along \ } \mathbf{c}^{\beta} \ \mathsf{grows.} \\ \mathsf{Case 4:} & \beta = \alpha, \qquad \rightarrow \mathsf{The \ perturbation \ along \ } \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} \ \mathsf{always \ decays.} \end{array}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle(51)}{\coloneqq} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle(71)}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} & \text{ with } & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle(70)}{\coloneqq} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

Case 1: $\beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} < \lambda_{\alpha}, \rightarrow$ The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{β} decays. Case 2: $\beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} = \lambda_{\alpha}, \rightarrow$ The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{β} persists. Case 3: $\beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} > \lambda_{\alpha}, \rightarrow$ The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{β} grows. Case 4: $\beta = \alpha, \qquad \rightarrow$ The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{α} always decays. The weight vector $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{c}^{\alpha}$ is stable only if perturbations in all directions decay,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle(51)}{\coloneqq} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle(71)}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} & \text{ with } & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle(70)}{\coloneqq} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

Case 1: $\beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} < \lambda_{\alpha}, \rightarrow$ The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{β} decays. Case 2: $\beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} = \lambda_{\alpha}, \rightarrow$ The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{β} persists. Case 3: $\beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} > \lambda_{\alpha}, \rightarrow$ The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{β} grows. Case 4: $\beta = \alpha, \qquad \rightarrow$ The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{α} always decays. The weight vector $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{c}^{\alpha}$ is stable only if perturbations in all directions decay, i.e.

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} \text{ stable } \iff \lambda_{\beta} < \lambda_{\alpha} \quad \forall \beta \neq \alpha \,. \tag{72}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (51)}{\coloneqq} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (71)}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} & \text{ with } & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (70)}{\coloneqq} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

Case 1: $\beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} < \lambda_{\alpha}, \rightarrow$ The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{β} decays. Case 2: $\beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} = \lambda_{\alpha}, \rightarrow$ The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{β} persists. Case 3: $\beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} > \lambda_{\alpha}, \rightarrow$ The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{β} grows. Case 4: $\beta = \alpha, \qquad \rightarrow$ The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{α} always decays. The weight vector $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{c}^{\alpha}$ is stable only if perturbations in all directions decay, i.e.

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} \text{ stable } \iff \lambda_{\beta} < \lambda_{\alpha} \quad \forall \beta \neq \alpha \,. \tag{72}$$

Only the eigenvector c^1 with largest eigenvalue λ_1 is a stable weight vector under Oja's rule.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w} & \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (51)}{\coloneqq} & \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} + \epsilon \,, \\ \langle \Delta s_{\beta} \rangle_{\mu} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (71)}{\approx} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} s_{\beta} & \text{ with } & \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (70)}{\coloneqq} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \eta(-2\lambda_{\beta}) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \\ \eta(\lambda_{\beta} - \lambda_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

Case 1: $\beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} < \lambda_{\alpha}, \rightarrow$ The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{β} decays. Case 2: $\beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} = \lambda_{\alpha}, \rightarrow$ The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{β} persists. Case 3: $\beta \neq \alpha, \lambda_{\beta} > \lambda_{\alpha}, \rightarrow$ The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{β} grows. Case 4: $\beta = \alpha, \qquad \rightarrow$ The perturbation along \mathbf{c}^{α} always decays. The weight vector $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{c}^{\alpha}$ is stable only if perturbations in all directions decay, i.e.

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} \text{ stable } \iff \lambda_{\beta} < \lambda_{\alpha} \quad \forall \beta \neq \alpha \,. \tag{72}$$

Only the eigenvector c^1 with largest eigenvalue λ_1 is a stable weight vector under Oja's rule.

What happens if the two largest eigenvalues are equal?

Summary

$$y \stackrel{(4)}{=} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} \quad (\text{linear unit})$$
$$\Delta \mathbf{w} \stackrel{(20)}{=} \eta (y\mathbf{x} - y^2\mathbf{w}) \quad (\text{Oja's rule})$$

1. What is the mean dynamics of the weight vectors?

$$\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \frac{1}{\eta} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} \quad \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (32)}{=} \quad \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} - \left(\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{w} \right) \mathbf{w} \\ \\ \text{with} \quad \mathbf{C} \quad \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (31)}{:=} \quad \langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \rangle_{\mu} \end{array}$$

2. What are the stationary weight vectors of the dynamics?

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{0} \stackrel{!}{=} \langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mu} & \Longleftrightarrow & \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} \\ & \text{with } \mathbf{C} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (36)}{=} \lambda_{\alpha} \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} & (\text{eigenvectors of } \mathbf{C}) \\ & \wedge & 1 \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (38)}{=} \parallel \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} \parallel^{2} & (\text{with norm } 1) \end{array}$$

3. Which weight vectors are stable?

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{c}^{\alpha} \text{ stable } \iff \lambda_{\beta} < \lambda_{\alpha} \quad \forall \beta \neq \alpha$$

Reminder: Principal Components



Principal components are eigenvectors of the covariance matrix and point in the direction of maximal variance within the space orthogonal to the earlier principal components.

Learning Several Principal Components



Learning Several Principal Components



Asymmetric inhibitory lateral connections decorrelate later from earlier output units. The units learn the principal components in order of decreasing eigenvalue.

(Rubner & Tavan, 1989, Europhys. Letters 10:693-8; reviewed in Becker & Plumbley, 1996, J. Appl. Intelligence 6:185-205)

Learning a Principal Subspace



Symmetric inhibitory lateral connections mutually decorrelate output units. The units learn the principal subspace but not particular principal components.

(Földiák, 1989, Proc. IJCNN'89 pp. 401-405; reviewed in Becker & Plumbley, 1996, J. Appl. Intelligence 6:185-205)

The Principal Components of Natural Images



15 natural images of size 256×256 pixels.

20,000 random samples of size 64×64 pixels.

For each pixel the mean gray value over the 20,000 samples was removed.

The samples were windowed with a Gaussian with std. dev. 10 pixels.

Sanger's rule was applied to the samples.

The Principal Components of Natural Images



The first principal components resemble simple-cell receptive fields in the primary visual cortex, the later ones do not.

The Principal Components of Natural Images



The principal components look different if samples are taken from text.

(Hancock, Baddeley, & Smith, 1992, Network 3(1):61-70)